Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-27

Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-27

Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-08-23

Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-23

Agency: 021 - Department of Transportation **Bureau:** 12 - Federal Aviation Administration

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

Name of this Investment: FAAXX445: FAA Telecommunications Infrastructure (FTI)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 021-623287423

Section B: Investment Detail

1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.

The FTI program is the primary means through which the FAA acquires the telecommunications services required for National Airspace System (NAS) operational systems as well as agency, administrative, and mission support functions. Under FTI, the FAA obtains commercially-available services that are engineered to meet the FAA's performance and information security requirements through the use of dedicated nodal equipment. FTI provides state-of-the-art telecommunications services to more than 4,000 FAA facilities nationwide while reducing operating costs, enhancing network security, and improving telecommunications service performance, reliability, and quality. During the implementation phase, the FTI program consolidated the operation of nine separate network's including the Leased Interfacility NAS Communications System (LINCS), Data Multiplexing Network (DMN), Bandwidth Manager (DWM), and the National Aviation Data Interchange Network (NADIN) into an integrated network infrastructure. With the transition from the legacy networks completed, the role of FTI during its operational phase is to provide modern, secure telecommunications services required by FAA modernization initiatives including NextGen-enabling programs such as SWIM, NVS, and DataComm. The FTI contract period of performance runs through 2017. The FTI program does not have dependencies on other NAS programs in a conventional sense, however, such program as STARS, TPS, IFPA, NEXCOM, VSCS, WARP, ASDE-X, ASTI, ATCBI-6, ERAM, ATOP, TMA, TAMR, SWIM,

TFM, CATMT, RWSL, DATACOMM, NVS, TAMR, and NNEW have dependencies on the successful execution and operations of FTI.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

The FTI program fully addresses the performance gap facing the FAA by improving operations and mission support functions for more than 4,000 NAS facilities. FTI supports the DOT and FAA strategic goal of Organizational Excellence (Improved Financial Performance) by enhancing the agency's ability to reduce operations cost growth in telecommunications by offering a broad range of telecommunications services that will allow the FAA to match price to performance. FTI also supports the DOT and FAA Strategic Goals of Safety by providing the high availability telecommunications services required to support air traffic control operations. The capital investment phase of the FTI program is completed. If network enhancements are required, they must be funded by the programs that are the source of the requirements. Not fully funding FTI means we would have to disconnect the associated telecomm services which could adversely impact National Air Space (NAS) operations and services provided to NAS stakeholders such as the DoD, Airlines, Airport Authorities, etc.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

The PY (2011) operational efficiency is available as fiscal year closes. Based on current operations, we assume it will follow the FY 2010 result as below. The FTI contract specifies seven (7) different performance levels for FTI services—referred to as RMA1 though RMA7. The FTI network is performing better than the specification value for all seven RMA levels. The FTI contract requires detection of security attacks within 60 minutes and reported to FAA within 15 minutes of detection. During FY2010, all security attacks were detected within 60 minutes and reported to FAA within 15 minutes. The FTI contract defines three different service delivery timeframes—15, 45, and 120 days — depending on the infrastructure requirements. During FY2010, the program performed better than the required levels with 15-day services being delivered in 6.2 days on average, 45-day services being delivered in 41.0 days on average, and 120-day services being delivered in 115.9 days on average.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

The goal level for 2012 is for FTI and legacy costs combined to be \$145.3 M yielding cost savings/avoidance of \$123.4 M; and the goal level for 2013 is for FTI and legacy costs combined to be \$141.3 M yielding cost savings/avoidance of \$129.2M. The FTI contract requires detection of security attacks within 60 minutes and reporting to the FAA within 15 minutes of detection. The FTI contract defines the following service availability requirements: RMA1 - 0.9999971 RMA2 - 0.9999719 RMA3 - 0.9998478 RMA4 - 0.9979452 RMA5 - 0.9972603. Maximum delivery service timeframes: 15 days where the additional services can be provided using infrastructure already in-place; 45 days at an existing facility where a major equipment modification is required; 120 days for initial connectivity.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2006-09-05

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

| Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | PY-1 | PY | CY | BY | | | | | |
| | & Prior | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | | | | | |
| Planning Costs: | \$6.1 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | | | | | |
| DME (Excluding Planning) Costs: | \$312.5 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | | | | | |
| DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs: | \$11.3 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | | | | | |
| Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE): | \$329.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| O & M Costs: | \$998.5 | \$147.3 | \$140.4 | \$136.2 | | | | | |
| O & M Govt. FTEs: | \$10.8 | \$2.5 | \$2.6 | \$2.7 | | | | | |
| Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE): | \$1,009.3 | \$149.8 | \$143.0 | \$138.9 | | | | | |
| Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE): | \$1,339.2 | \$149.8 | \$143.0 | \$138.9 | | | | | |
| Total Govt. FTE costs: | \$22.1 | \$2.5 | \$2.6 | \$2.7 | | | | | |
| # of FTE rep by costs: | 181 | 17 | 17 | 17 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$) | | \$0.0 | \$0.0 | | | | | | |
| Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%) | | 0.00% | 0.00% | | | | | | |

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Awarded

6920

DTFAWA-11-D-00051

| Contract Type | | Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------|--|--|--|---------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | EVM Required | Contracting Agency ID | Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) | Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID | IDV Agency ID | Solicitation ID | Ultimate Contract Value (\$M) | Туре | PBSA ? | Effective Da | te Actual or Expected End Date | | |
| Awarded | 6920 | DTFAWA-02-C -00206 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Awarded | 6920 | DTFA01-02-D-0 3006 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Solicitation | Contract | rpe of /Task Order ricing) | PBSA | Effective d | ate Extent | Competed | Short description of acquisition | | |
| | | | | | Firm F | ixed Price | N | 2002-10-3 | 31 | U | | | |

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

The FTI program became operational as of March 2008 with CF phase closing out as of 9/30/08. EVM is not required for operational programs. However, the FTI contract requires vendor EVM reporting for the cost reimbursable portion of the contract. The FTI contract was awarded prior to the FAA EVM policy for contracts of \$10+M. However, the program office implemented a full program EVM system to manage risk and measure cost, schedule and performance for CF aspects of the investment (representing 9.1% of the \$3.5B, not to exceed contract ceiling reflected on the table). The TEOM support contract is a T&M contract that was also awarded prior to the EVM policy. The FTI Program Office mitigates risk associated with this contract type by performing program-level EVM on planned and actual work performed utilizing vendor cost and schedule status reports by task and contractor invoices.

Page 6 / 9 of Section300 Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-23 Exhibit 300 (2011)

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

| Section | R· F | roject | Execution | Data |
|---------|------|--------|-----------|------|

| Section B: Project Execution | on Data | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|---|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Table II.B.1 Projects | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project ID | Project ID Project Project Project Project Project Project Name Description Start Date Date | | | | | | | Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M) | | | |
| NONE | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Activity Summary | | | | | | | |
| | | | Roll-up of Information | on Provided in Lowest | Level Child Activities | | | | | | |
| Project ID | Name | Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M) | End Point Schedule Variance (in days) | End Point Schedule Variance (%) | Cost Variance (\$M) | Cost Variance (%) | Total Planned Cos (\$M) | t Count of Activities | | | |
| NONE | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Key Deliverables | | | | | | | |
| Project Name | Activity Name | Description | Planned Completion Date | Projected Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Duration (in days) | Schedule Variance (in days) | Schedule Variance (%) | | | |

NONE

Page 7 / 9 of Section300 Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-23 Exhibit 300 (2011)

Section C: Operational Data

| | | | Table | II.C.1 Performance Me | etrics | | | |
|--|-----------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Metric Description | Unit of Measure | FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping | Measurement Condition | Baseline | Target for PY | Actual for PY | Target for CY | Reporting Frequency |
| Time required to detect a security attack | Hours | Process and Activities - Security and Privacy | Under target | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.750000 | 1.000000 | Semi-Annual |
| Time required to report an incident to the government from the time it occurs | Hours | Process and Activities - Security and Privacy | Under target | 8.000000 | 0.250000 | 0.200000 | 0.250000 | Semi-Annual |
| Maximum delivery service timeframe where when the additional services can be provided using infrastructure already in-place; | Days | Customer Results - Timeliness and Responsiveness | Under target | 45.000000 | 15.000000 | 7.900000 | 15.000000 | Semi-Annual |
| Maximum delivery service timeframes at an existing facility where a major equipment modification is required | Days | Customer Results - Timeliness and Responsiveness | Under target | 120.000000 | 45.000000 | 42.100000 | 45.000000 | Semi-Annual |
| Maximum delivery service for initial connectivity | Days | Customer Results - Timeliness and Responsiveness | Under target | 180.000000 | 120.000000 | 100.900000 | 120.000000 | Semi-Annual |
| Service implemented at Service Level 1 - Reliability, Maintainability, Availability 1 (RMA1) | Percentage | Technology - Reliability and Availability | Over target | 99.999710 | 99.999710 | 99.999850 | 99.999710 | Monthly |
| Service implemented at Service Level 2 – RMA2 | Percentage | Technology - Reliability and Availability | Over target | 99.997190 | 99.997190 | 99.999260 | 99.997190 | Monthly |
| Service implemented at Service Level 3 – RMA3 | Percentage | Technology - Reliability and Availability | Over target | 99.984780 | 99.984780 | 99.998210 | 99.984780 | Monthly |

| Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|--|--------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--|
| Metric Description | Unit of Measure | FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping | Measurement Condition | Baseline | Target for PY | Actual for PY | Target for CY | Reporting Frequency | |
| Service implemented at Service Level 4 – RMA4 | Percentage | Technology - Reliability and Availability | Over target | 99.794520 | 99.794520 | 99.929870 | 99.794520 | Monthly | |
| Service implemented at Service Level 5 – RMA5 | Percentage | Technology - Reliability and Availability | Over target | 99.726030 | 99.726030 | 99.944990 | 99.726030 | Monthly | |